

## **BRITISH AUTOMOBILE RACING CLUB**



## **MEETING FORMS (Editable)**

## Posted at 18.16

Date: 26th August 2023 Venue: Donington Park  To: Jack Byrne Car No. 486  Formula: MINI CHALLENGE Trophy Race 1, Round 12  Licence No.: 20445 Issue time: 17:55  I feel that I am:  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number driven by as I consider there to be inadequate evidence to warrant such action.  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It was noted that car 93 did not make any dramatic steering changes when exiting the corner.	From:	Luke Caudle	Clerk of the Course		
Formula:    Licence No.:   20445   Issue time:   17:55	Date:	26th August 2023	Venue:	Donington Park	
Licence No.: 20445 Issue time: 17:55  I feel that I am:  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number driven by as I consider there to be inadequate evidence to warrant such action.  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	To:	Jack Byrne	Car No.	486	
I feel that I am:  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number driven by as I consider there to be inadequate evidence to warrant such action.  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	Formula:	MINI CHALLENGE Trophy Race 1, Round 12			
Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number driven by as I consider there to be inadequate evidence to warrant such action.  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	Licence No.:	20445	Issue time:	17:55	
there to be inadequate evidence to warrant such action.  Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	Unable to take any judicial action as a result of an incident between your vehicle and that of a				
fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider that neither driver is wholly or predominantly to blame  Unable to grant you an upgrading signature on your Motorsport UK competition Licence record card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It					
card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	fellow competitor number 93 driven by Maximus Hall as I consider				
card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in regulation G5.2.19 of the current Motorsport UK yearbook.  Additional Information / Reasons for Decision  After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It			N.A. (	CIUZ CC II	
After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	card. This is due to my belief that for various reasons, you have not met the criteria as laid down in				
After reviewing the onboard footage of car 93 & 486 and speaking to the drivers and entrants I believe car 93 had created an overtake at the Melbourne Hairpin and car 486 should have backed out or conceded. It	Additional Informat	tion / Reasons for Decision			
<u> </u>					

The decision above was announced verbally to the Competitor at the time and on the date indicated above. The Competitor was informed of their right of appeal and was told that this time and date would be used in relation to any time limit which might be applicable to any appeal. This decision sheet was then subsequently passed to the Competitor by email, making use of the contact details given by the Competitor on their entry form

CLERK OF THE COURSE

Signed:

L Caudle