



## MEETING FORMS (Editable)

Posted at 09.12 on 03/05/2025

From:	A.G. Butler	Clerk of the Course	Free Practice	
Date:	02-May-2025	Venue:	Donington (National)	Qualifying
To:	Hitech TGR	Car No.	7	Race 1
Formula:	British F4 Championship			Race 2
Licence No:	295766	Issue time:	09:59	Ch.2, App.3, Art. 1.16
				Race 3
				■

The Clerk of the Course has received a petition together with a Fee Undertaking from:

Hitech TGR

[name of the parties concerned / parties directly affected by the decision handed down]

for them to review, in accordance with **NCR Ch.2, App.10, Art.1.1**, the following decision(s) made by the Clerk of the Course at the above Competition:

5 second time penalty issued for track limits,round 3, Donington Park 27/04/25

The Clerk of the Course, having examined On board video evidence

[Evidence],

summoned and heard from D Stott Entrant

[the Entrant(s) name(s)],

determine the following

### Decision:

There is a significant and relevant new element which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned

### Reason:

On board video from car 7.

[Clearly explain why the element brought before you is 1) significant and relevant (i.e. likely to call into question or modify the original decision) and 2) new (i.e. unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned)]

Competitors are reminded that, in accordance with **Ch.2. App.10, Art.1.13** of the NCRs, this decision is not subject to appeal.



# BRITISH AUTOMOBILE RACING CLUB



## MEETING FORMS (Editable)

From:	A.G. Butler	Clerk of the Course	Free Practice	
Date:	02-May-2025	Venue:	Donington (National)	Qualifying
To:	Hitech TGR	Car No.	7	Race 1
Formula:	British F4 Championship			Race 2
Licence No:	295766	Issue time:	09:59	Ch.2, App.3, Art. 1.16
				Race 3
				■

In accordance with Motorsport UK NCR Ch.2, App.10, Art.1.14 I am satisfied that there is a significant and relevant new element which was unavailable to the parties seeking the review at the time of the decision concerned and upon further investigation I find:

On board video shows the track limit reported by the Judge of Fact on lap 9 at turn 7 (McLeans) was not a breach of track limits. The car was on the curb and there was clearly a visible curb. The car was approximately 12 inch's away from the track limit sensor.

Lap 8 and lap 10 was also checked.

Right to review upheld, fee returned. 5 second time penalty imposed for a third track limit at round 3 rescinded.

You are advised of your right of appeal under **NCR Ch.2, App.4.**

Signed

**A.G. Butler**

CLERK OF THE COURSE

This decision sheet was sent to the Competitor by email, making use of the contact details given by the Competitor on their entry form